

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the above amendments and the following remarks and discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are pending in this application. By this amendment, Claim 1 is amended and Claim 5 is cancelled. No new matter is added.

In the outstanding Office Action, Claims 1, 3-8 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,223,190 to Aihara in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,035,323 to Narayen and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,738,075 to Torres; and Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Aihara in view of Narayen and Torres and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,930,709 to Creamer.

None of the applied art teaches or suggests a units which displays the HTML document drafting template registered in the digital camera device by using a dummy image file and a unit which resets the exclusively-used tag section while displaying the HTML document drafting template, as recited in Claim 1.

In rejecting the claim features recited above, the Office Action asserted that Aihara disclosed these features. Applicants respectfully disagree. Specifically, Aihara discloses as shown in Figures 6a and 6b, overlay bars 430 and 432 that are used to provide the user with various status information of the camera and provide various instructions for using the camera. The information or instructions displayed on the bars 430 and 432 is typically specific to the particular operating mode of the camera 110. Overlay bars 430 and 432 provide interactive instructions which guide the user through the directed image capture sequence and any other actions of the script.

In accordance with the features of the claimed invention discussed above, a unit displays the HTML the document drafting template, as shown in Fig. 2, registered in the digital camera device by using a dummy image file, and a unit which resets the exclusively-

used tag section while displaying the HTML document drafting template. Therefore, in accordance with the claimed features discussed above, it becomes possible to re-edit the HTML document drafting template registered in the digital camera. The applied art does not disclose the features of the claimed invention discussed above, and therefore, cannot provide at least the advantages discussed above.


The remaining applied art of Narayan, Torres, and Creamer are relied upon to provide the features identified as deficient in the applied combination discussed above, therefore they are not substantially addressed herewith. Thus, for at least these reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of independent Claim 1 and dependent Claims 2-4, 6-8 and 10, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn.

Consequently, no further issues are believed to be outstanding in the present application, and the present application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. A Notice of Allowance for Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 10 is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner deem that any further action is necessary to place this application in even better form for allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned representative at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



James J. Kulbaski
Attorney of Record
Registration No.: 34,648

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 03/06)

JJK/KMM/law

I:\ATTY\KMM\PROSECUTION WORK\217548\10-16-06 AMENDMENT.DOC

Kevin M. McKinley
Registration No. 43,794

Kurt M. Berger, Ph.D.
Registration No. 51,461